

The 22nd March, 1983

No. ID/FD/34/83/13572.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Amarjit Singh and the management of M/s V. K. Industries, Plot No. 122, Sector 6, Faridabad, regarding the hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either, matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Amarjit Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 23rd March, 1983

No. ID/FD/66/83/13864.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Munshi Ram, and the management of M/s Dabriwala Steel and Engineering Co. Ltd, Plot No. 136/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Munshi Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/73/83/13871.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shanker Lal and the management of M/s Oswal Steels Ltd., Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matters in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shanker Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/74/83/13878.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Sri Krishan and the management of M/s Oswal Steels Limited, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sri Krishan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/55/83/13885.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Asray and the management of M/s Metal Cast Industries, Plot No. 278/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Asray was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/58/83/13892.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Man Bahadur and the management of M/s Metal Cast Industries, Plot No. 278/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Man Bahadur was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 24th March, 1983

No. ID/FD/12/83/14071.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Vishnu Singh and the management of M/s K. K. Jain, Canteen Contractor C/o Eicher Goodearth Ltd., N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Vishnu Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/15/83/14078.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ashok Singh and the management of M/s Metal Cast Industries, Plot No. 278, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to, or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ashok Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/5/83/14085.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri A. K. Gupta and the management of M/s Omega Bright Steel Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 109, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri A. K. Gupta was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?